arXiv:math/0508523v1 [math.RT] 26 Aug 2005

Alpha-determinant cyclic modules of $\mathfrak{gl}_n(\mathbb{C})$

Sho MATSUMOTO* and Masato WAKAYAMA†

August 19, 2005

Abstract

The alpha-determinant unifies and interpolates the notion of the determinant and permanent. We determine the irreducible decomposition of the cyclic module of $\mathfrak{gl}_n(\mathbb{C})$ defined by the alpha-determinant. The degeneracy of the irreducible decomposition is determined by the content polynomial of a given partition.

Key Words : Schur module, Young symmetrizer, permanent, Frobenius notation, irreducible decomposition, cyclic module.

1 Introduction

Let $X = (x_{ij})_{1 \leq i,j \leq n}$ be a matrix with commutative variables x_{ij}. For a complex number α, the α-determinant of X is defined by

$$\det_\alpha(X) = \sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n} \alpha^{n-\nu_n(\sigma)} \prod_{i=1}^{n} x_{i\sigma(i)},$$

where $\mathfrak{S}_n$ is the symmetric group of degree n and $\nu_n(\sigma)$ stands for the number of cycles in the cycle decomposition of a permutation $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n$. The α-determinant is nothing but the permanent if $\alpha = 1$ and the (usual) determinant if $\alpha = -1$, and hence, it interpolates these two. It appears as the coefficient in the Taylor expansion of the power $\det(I - \alpha X)^{-1/\alpha}$ of the characteristic polynomial of X and defines a generalization of the boson, poisson and fermion point processes, see [ST, V]. Also, its Pfaffian analogue has been developed in [M].

It is a natural question whether the α-determinant can be interpreted as an invariant like the usual determinant (and also the q-determinant in quantum group theory). Denote by $\mathcal{P}(\mathrm{Mat}_{n\times n})$ the ring of polynomials in variables $\{x_{ij}\}_{1 \leq i,j \leq n}$. Let $\{E_{ij}\}_{1 \leq i,j \leq n}$ be the natural basis of the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{gl}_n(\mathbb{C})$. When $n = 2$ and $\alpha \neq 0$, consider the linear map $\rho_2^{(\alpha)}$ of $\mathfrak{gl}_2(\mathbb{C})$ on $\mathcal{P}(\mathrm{Mat}_{n\times n})$ determined by

$$\rho_2^{(\alpha)}(E_{11}) = x_{11}\partial_{11} + x_{12}\partial_{12}, \qquad \rho_2^{(\alpha)}(E_{12}) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{-\alpha}}\left(x_{11}\partial_{21} - \alpha x_{12}\partial_{22}\right),$$

$$\rho_2^{(\alpha)}(E_{21}) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{-\alpha}}\left(-\alpha x_{21}\partial_{11} + x_{22}\partial_{12}\right), \qquad \rho_2^{(\alpha)}(E_{22}) = x_{21}\partial_{21} + x_{22}\partial_{22},$$

*Research Fellow of the Japan Society for the Promotion of Science, partially supported by Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (C) No. 17006193.

†Partially supported by Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (B) No. 15340012.

where $\partial_{ij} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{ij}}$. Then $\rho_2^{(\alpha)}$ defines a representation of $\mathfrak{gl}_2(\mathbb{C})$ and $\rho_2^{(\alpha)}(E_{ii})\det_\alpha(X) = \det_\alpha(X)$, $\rho_2^{(\alpha)}(E_{ij})\det_\alpha(X) = 0$ for $i \neq j$. This is not, however, true for $n \geq 3$. Precisely, although the map $\rho_n^{(\alpha)}$ given by $\rho_n^{(\alpha)}(E_{ij}) = \sum_{k=1}^n \beta^{|i-k|-|j-k|} x_{ik}\partial_{jk}$, where $\beta = \sqrt{-\alpha}$, defines a representation of $\mathfrak{gl}_n(\mathbb{C})$ on $\mathcal{P}(\mathrm{Mat}_{n\times n})$, $\rho_n^{(\alpha)}(E_{ij})\det_\alpha(X) \neq 0$ $(i \neq j)$ in general. (One can actually show that $\rho_n^{(\alpha)}$ is equivalent to the usual action of $\mathfrak{gl}_n(\mathbb{C})$ determined by $\rho(E_{ij}) = \rho_n^{(-1)}(E_{ij}) = \sum_{k=1}^n x_{ik}\partial_{jk}$ on $\mathcal{P}(\mathrm{Mat}_{n\times n})$ when $\alpha \neq 0$. Indeed, the map $f(x_{ij}) \mapsto f(\beta^{|i-j|}x_{ij})$ is the intertwining operator from $(\rho, \mathcal{P}(\mathrm{Mat}_{n\times n}))$ to $(\rho_n^{(\alpha)}, \mathcal{P}(\mathrm{Mat}_{n\times n}))$.)

Then, a question which subsequently arises is what the structure of the smallest invariant subspace of $\mathcal{P}(\mathrm{Mat}_{n\times n})$ which contains $\det_\alpha(X)$ is. Thus, the aim of the present paper is to investigate a cyclic module $V_n^{(\alpha)} = U(\mathfrak{g})\det_\alpha(X)$, under the representation ρ on $\mathcal{P}(\mathrm{Mat}_{n\times n})$ for each $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$. Clearly, $V_n^{(-1)}$ is the one-dimensional determinant representation.

We adopt the notations for partitions used in [Mac] and for representations of $\mathfrak{gl}_n(\mathbb{C})$ used in [F] and [W]. A partition λ is a weakly decreasing sequence $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots)$ of non-negative integers such that $\lambda_j = 0$ for sufficiently large j. We usually identify a partition λ with the corresponding Young diagram. Write $\lambda \vdash n$ if $\sum_{j\geq 1} \lambda_j = n$ and denote by $\lambda' = (\lambda'_1, \lambda'_2, \dots)$ the conjugate partition of λ. Let E^λ denote the Schur module (or called the Weyl module) corresponding to λ and f^λ the number of standard tableaux of shape λ.

The following is our main result, which describes the irreducible decomposition of $V_n^{(\alpha)}$.

Theorem 1.1. *For* $k = 1, 2, \dots, n-1$,

$$V_n^{(\frac{1}{k})} \cong \bigoplus_{\substack{\lambda\vdash n,\\ \lambda'_1 \leq k}} (E^\lambda)^{\oplus f^\lambda} \qquad \textit{and} \qquad V_n^{(-\frac{1}{k})} \cong \bigoplus_{\substack{\lambda\vdash n,\\ \lambda_1 \leq k}} (E^\lambda)^{\oplus f^\lambda}. \tag{1.1}$$

For $\alpha \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{\pm 1, \pm\frac{1}{2}, \dots, \pm\frac{1}{n-1}\}$,

$$V_n^{(\alpha)} \cong (\mathbb{C}^n)^{\otimes n} \cong \bigoplus_{\lambda\vdash n} (E^\lambda)^{\oplus f^\lambda}. \tag{1.2}$$

Example 1.1. When $n = 3$ the irreducible decomposition of $V_n^{(\alpha)}$ is given by

$$V_3^{(\alpha)} \cong \begin{cases} E^{(3)} & \text{if } \alpha = 1, \\ E^{(3)} \oplus E^{(2,1)} \oplus E^{(2,1)} & \text{if } \alpha = \frac{1}{2}, \\ E^{(1,1,1)} & \text{if } \alpha = -1, \\ E^{(2,1)} \oplus E^{(2,1)} \oplus E^{(1,1,1)} & \text{if } \alpha = -\frac{1}{2}, \\ E^{(3)} \oplus E^{(2,1)} \oplus E^{(2,1)} \oplus E^{(1,1,1)} & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$ □

We remark that each Schur module possesses a canonial basis formed by α-determinants (Theorem 3.3). Although the α-determinant is not an invariant, this fact implies that it has a rich symmetry.

2 The $U(\mathfrak{gl}_n)$-module $V_n^{(\alpha)}$

Let $V_n^{(\alpha)} = \rho(U(\mathfrak{gl}_n))\det_\alpha(X)$. Put $[n] = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ and

$$D^{(\alpha)}(i_1, i_2, \dots, i_n) = \det_\alpha \begin{pmatrix} x_{i_1 1} & x_{i_1 2} & \dots & x_{i_1 n} \\ x_{i_2 1} & x_{i_2 2} & \dots & x_{i_2 n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ x_{i_n 1} & x_{i_n 2} & \dots & x_{i_n n} \end{pmatrix}$$

for any $i_1, \dots, i_n \in [n]$. In particular, $\det_\alpha(X) = D^{(\alpha)}(1, 2, \dots, n)$. We abbreviate $\rho(E_{ij})$ to E_{ij} for simplicity. When there is no fear of confusion, we abbreviate ν_n to ν.

Lemma 2.1.

$$E_{pq} \cdot D^{(\alpha)}(i_1, \dots, i_n) = \sum_{k=1}^{n} \delta_{i_k, q} D^{(\alpha)}(i_1, \dots, i_{k-1}, p, i_{k+1}, \dots, i_n). \tag{2.1}$$

Proof. It is straightforward. In fact,

$$\begin{aligned} E_{pq} \cdot D^{(\alpha)}(i_1, \dots, i_n) &= \sum_{j=1}^{n} x_{pj} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{qj}} \sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n} \alpha^{n-\nu(\sigma)} x_{i_1 \sigma(1)} \cdots x_{i_n \sigma(n)} \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^{n} \sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n} \alpha^{n-\nu(\sigma)} \sum_{k=1}^{n} x_{pj} \delta_{i_k, q} \delta_{\sigma(k), j} x_{i_1 \sigma(1)} \cdots \widehat{x_{i_k \sigma(k)}} \cdots x_{i_n \sigma(n)} \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^{n} \delta_{i_k, q} \sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n} \alpha^{n-\nu(\sigma)} x_{p \sigma(k)} x_{i_1 \sigma(1)} \cdots \widehat{x_{i_k \sigma(k)}} \cdots x_{i_n \sigma(n)} \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^{n} \delta_{i_k, q} D^{(\alpha)}(i_1, \dots, i_{k-1}, p, i_{k+1}, \dots, i_n), \end{aligned}$$

where $\widehat{x_{kl}}$ stands for the omission of x_{kl}. □

Example 2.1. We see that $E_{21} \cdot D^{(\alpha)}(4, 1, 2, 1) = D^{(\alpha)}(4, 2, 2, 1) + D^{(\alpha)}(4, 1, 2, 2)$, $E_{11} \cdot D^{(\alpha)}(4, 1, 2, 1) = 2D^{(\alpha)}(4, 1, 2, 1)$, and $E_{43} \cdot D^{(\alpha)}(4, 1, 2, 1) = 0$. □

The symmetric group $\mathfrak{S}_n$ acts also on $V_n^{(\alpha)}$ from the right by $D^{(\alpha)}(i_1, \dots, i_n) \cdot \sigma = D^{(\alpha)}(i_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, i_{\sigma(n)})$.

Lemma 2.2. *The space $V_n^{(\alpha)}$ is the complex vector space spanned by $\{D^{(\alpha)}(i_1, \dots, i_n) \mid i_1, \dots, i_n \in [n]\}$.*

Proof. Since the vector space spanned by all $D^{(\alpha)}(i_1, \dots, i_n)$ contains $V_n^{(\alpha)}$ by Lemma 2.1, we prove that all $D^{(\alpha)}(i_1, \dots, i_n)$ are contained in $V_n^{(\alpha)}$. For $1 \le p < q \le n$, we have

$$V_n^{(\alpha)} \ni (E_{pq}E_{qp} - 1) \cdot D^{(\alpha)}(1, 2, \dots, n) = D^{(\alpha)}(\tau(1), \dots, \tau(n)),$$

where τ is the transposition (p, q) of p and q. It follows that, for each $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n$, $D^{(\alpha)}(\sigma(1), \dots, \sigma(n)) = Y \cdot D^{(\alpha)}(1, \dots, n)$ for some $Y = Y_\sigma \in U(\mathfrak{g})$. For any $1 \le i_1 \le i_2 \le \cdots \le i_n \le n$, suppose there exists $X = X_{i_1 \dots i_n} \in U(\mathfrak{g})$ such that $D^{(\alpha)}(i_1, \dots, i_n) = X \cdot D^{(\alpha)}(1, \dots, n)$. For any $j_1, \dots, j_n \in [n]$,

we have $D^{(\alpha)}(j_1,\dots,j_n) = D^{(\alpha)}(i_{\sigma(1)},\dots,i_{\sigma(n)})$ for some $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n$ and $i_1 \le \cdots \le i_n$. Hence, since the action of $\mathfrak{gl}_n(\mathbb{C})$ and of $\mathfrak{S}_n$ commute, we see that

$$\begin{aligned} &D^{(\alpha)}(j_1,\dots,j_n) = D^{(\alpha)}(i_1,\dots,i_n)\cdot\sigma \\ &= \Big(X\cdot D^{(\alpha)}(1,\dots,n)\Big)\cdot\sigma = X\cdot\Big(D^{(\alpha)}(1,\dots,n)\cdot\sigma\Big) = XY\cdot D^{(\alpha)}(1,\dots,n) \end{aligned}$$

and $D^{(\alpha)}(j_1,\dots,j_n)$ is contained in $V_n^{(\alpha)}$. Therefore it is sufficient to prove $D^{(\alpha)}(i_1,\dots,i_n) \in V_n^{(\alpha)}$ for $i_1 \le \cdots \le i_n$.

For any sequence $(i_1,\dots,i_n)$ such that $i_k \le k$ for any k, we have $D^{(\alpha)}(i_1,i_2,\dots,i_n) = E_{i_n n}\cdots E_{i_2 2}E_{i_1 1}\cdot D^{(\alpha)}(1,2,\dots,n) \in V_n^{(\alpha)}$. In fact, by Lemma 2.1, we see that $E_{i_k k}\cdot D^{(\alpha)}(i_1,\dots,i_{k-1},k,k+1,\dots,n) = D^{(\alpha)}(i_1,\dots,i_{k-1},i_k,k+1,\dots,n)$ because $i_1 \le \cdots \le i_{k-1} < k$ for any $1 \le k \le n$. Suppose there exists k such that $i_j \le j$ for any $j < k$ and $i_k > k$. We prove $D^{(\alpha)}(i_1,\dots,i_n) \in V_n^{(\alpha)}$ for such sequences $(i_1,\dots,i_n)$ by induction with respect to the lexicographic order. Since $i_1 \le \cdots \le i_{k-1} < k \le i_k - 1 < i_{k+1} \le \cdots \le i_n$ and $D^{(\alpha)}(i_1,\dots,i_{k-1},i_k-1,i_{k+1},\dots,i_n) \in V_n^{(\alpha)}$ by the induction assumption, we have $D^{(\alpha)}(i_1,\dots,i_k,\dots,i_n) = E_{i_k,i_k-1}\cdot D^{(\alpha)}(i_1,\dots,i_k-1,\dots,i_n) \in V_n^{(\alpha)}$ by Lemma 2.1. Hence we obtain our claim. □

The universal enveloping algebra $U(\mathfrak{g})$ of $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{gl}_n(\mathbb{C})$ acts on the n-tensor product $(\mathbb{C}^n)^{\otimes n} = \mathbb{C}^n\otimes\cdots\otimes\mathbb{C}^n$ from the left by

$$E_{pq}\cdot(\boldsymbol{e}_{i_1}\otimes\cdots\otimes\boldsymbol{e}_{i_n}) = \sum_{k=1}^{n}\boldsymbol{e}_{i_1}\otimes\cdots\otimes E_{pq}\boldsymbol{e}_{i_k}\otimes\cdots\otimes\boldsymbol{e}_{i_n} = \sum_{k=1}^{n}\delta_{i_k,q}\boldsymbol{e}_{i_1}\otimes\cdots\otimes\boldsymbol{e}_{p}\otimes\cdots\otimes\boldsymbol{e}_{i_n},$$

where $\{\boldsymbol{e}_k\}_{k=1}^n$ is the natural basis of $\mathbb{C}^n$. From this fact together with Lemma 2.1 and Lemma 2.2, we have the

Proposition 2.3. *Let* $\Phi_n^{(\alpha)}$ *be the linear map from* $(\mathbb{C}^n)^{\otimes n}$ *to* $V_n^{(\alpha)}$ *defined by*

$$\Phi_n^{(\alpha)}(\boldsymbol{e}_{i_1}\otimes\cdots\otimes\boldsymbol{e}_{i_n}) = D^{(\alpha)}(i_1,\dots,i_n)$$

for each $i_1,\dots,i_n \in [n]$. *Then* $\Phi_n^{(\alpha)}$ *is a* $U(\mathfrak{g})$*-module homomorphism. In particular,* $V_n^{(\alpha)}$ *is isomorphic to a quotient module* $(\mathbb{C}^n)^{\otimes n}/\operatorname{Ker}\Phi_n^{(\alpha)}$ *of* $(\mathbb{C}^n)^{\otimes n}$. □

Notice that, when $\alpha = 0$, the homomorphism $\Phi_n^{(0)}(\boldsymbol{e}_{i_1}\otimes\cdots\otimes\boldsymbol{e}_{i_n}) = D^{(0)}(i_1,\dots,i_n) = x_{i_1 1}\cdots x_{i_n n}$ is clearly bijective, and therefore $V_n^{(0)} \cong (\mathbb{C}^n)^{\otimes n}$. Hence we have the irreducible decomposition of $V_n^{(0)}$ as

$$V_n^{(0)} \cong \bigoplus_{\lambda\vdash n}(E^\lambda)^{\oplus f^\lambda}. \tag{2.2}$$

The symmetric group $\mathfrak{S}_n$ acts on $(\mathbb{C}^n)^{\otimes n}$ from the right by $(\boldsymbol{e}_{i_1}\otimes\cdots\otimes\boldsymbol{e}_{i_n})\cdot\sigma = \boldsymbol{e}_{i_{\sigma(1)}}\otimes\cdots\otimes\boldsymbol{e}_{i_{\sigma(n)}}$ for any $\sigma\in\mathfrak{S}_n$.

3 A formula for the number of cycles

A numbering of shape $\lambda \vdash n$ is a way of putting distinct elements in $[n]$ in each box of the Young diagram λ. Let $R(T)$ be the row group (or called the Young subgroup) of a numbering T, i.e., permutations in $R(T)$ permutate the entries of each row among themselves. The column group $C(T)$ is also defined similarly.

Recall the Frobenius notation $(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_d | b_1, b_2, \dots, b_d)$ of a partition λ, where $a_i = \lambda_i - i \geq 0$ and $b_i = \lambda'_i - i \geq 0$ for $1 \leq i \leq d$. Then the content polynomial $f_\lambda(\alpha)$ ([Mac]) for the partition λ is written as

$$f_\lambda(\alpha) = \prod_{i=1}^{d} \left\{ \prod_{j=1}^{a_i} (1 + j\alpha) \cdot \prod_{j=1}^{b_i} (1 - j\alpha) \right\}. \tag{3.1}$$

Note that $f_\lambda(\alpha)$ satisfies $f_\lambda(\alpha) = f_{\lambda'}(-\alpha)$. We have the following formula for the number $\nu = \nu_n$ of cycles.

Proposition 3.1. *Let T be a numbering of shape $\lambda \vdash n$. Then*

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{q \in C(T)} \operatorname{sgn}(q) \sum_{p \in R(T)} \alpha^{n - \nu(pq\sigma)} \\ &= \begin{cases} \operatorname{sgn}(q_0) f_\lambda(\alpha) & \text{if } \sigma = q_0 p_0 \text{ for some } q_0 \in C(T) \text{ and } p_0 \in R(T), \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \end{aligned} \tag{3.2}$$

Example 3.1. When $T = \begin{array}{|c|c|}\hline 1 & 2 \\ \hline 3 \\ \cline{1-1} \end{array}$ we have

$$\sum_{q \in C(T)} \operatorname{sgn}(q) \sum_{p \in R(T)} \alpha^{3 - \nu(pq\sigma)} = \begin{cases} (1+\alpha)(1-\alpha) & \text{for } \sigma = (1) \text{ or } (12), \\ -(1+\alpha)(1-\alpha) & \text{for } \sigma = (13) \text{ or } (123), \\ 0 & \text{for } \sigma = (23) \text{ or } (132). \end{cases} \quad \square$$

Example 3.2. For $T = \begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|}\hline 1 & 2 & \cdots & n \\ \hline \end{array}$ Proposition 3.1 says

$$\sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n} \alpha^{n - \nu(\sigma)} = \prod_{j=1}^{n-1} (1 + j\alpha). \quad \square \tag{3.3}$$

Though we can give an even simpler proof of this proposition based on a well-known representation theory of $\mathfrak{S}_n$ and GL_m (see, Section 4.1 and 4.2), since this does not work in the quantum groups situation, we provide here a direct and elementary proof.

Proof of Proposition 3.1. First we prove the assertion when T is a standard tableau. Suppose that the (t, s)-box in T is numbered by n. Let $\{x_1, \dots, x_{s-1}, n\}$ and $\{y_1, \dots, y_{t-1}, n\}$ be the entries on the t-th row and s-th column in T, respectively. Let $\tilde{T}$ be the standard tableau obtained by removing the (t, s)-box from T and let $\tilde{\lambda}$ be the shape of $\tilde{T}$. For any $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n$, we define $\tilde{\sigma}$ by $\tilde{\sigma} = \sigma \cdot (\sigma^{-1}(n), n)$. The map $\sigma \mapsto \tilde{\sigma}$ is a projection from $\mathfrak{S}_n$ to $\mathfrak{S}_{n-1}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{q \in C(T)} \operatorname{sgn}(q) \sum_{p \in R(T)} \alpha^{n - \nu_n(pq\sigma)} \\ &= F(\sigma; n, n) + \sum_{k=1}^{s-1} F(\sigma; x_k, n) + \sum_{l=1}^{t-1} F(\sigma; n, y_l) + \sum_{k=1}^{s-1} \sum_{l=1}^{t-1} F(\sigma; x_k, y_l), \end{aligned} \tag{3.4}$$

where

$$F(\sigma;n,n)=\sum_{q\in C(\tilde{T})(n)}\mathrm{sgn}(q)\sum_{p\in(n)R(\tilde{T})}\alpha^{n-\nu_n(pq\sigma)}=\sum_{\tilde{q}\in C(\tilde{T})}\mathrm{sgn}(\tilde{q})\sum_{\tilde{p}\in R(\tilde{T})}\alpha^{n-\nu_n(\tilde{p}\tilde{q}\sigma)},$$

$$F(\sigma;x_k,n)=\sum_{q\in C(\tilde{T})(n)}\mathrm{sgn}(q)\sum_{p\in(x_k,n)R(\tilde{T})}\alpha^{n-\nu_n(pq\sigma)}=\sum_{\tilde{q}\in C(\tilde{T})}\mathrm{sgn}(\tilde{q})\sum_{\tilde{p}\in R(\tilde{T})}\alpha^{n-\nu_n((x_k,n)\tilde{p}\tilde{q}\sigma)},$$

$$F(\sigma;n,y_l)=\sum_{q\in C(\tilde{T})(y_l,n)}\mathrm{sgn}(q)\sum_{p\in(n)R(\tilde{T})}\alpha^{n-\nu_n(pq\sigma)}=-\sum_{\tilde{q}\in C(\tilde{T})}\mathrm{sgn}(\tilde{q})\sum_{\tilde{p}\in R(\tilde{T})}\alpha^{n-\nu_n(\tilde{p}\tilde{q}(y_l,n)\sigma)},$$

$$F(\sigma;x_k,y_l)=\sum_{q\in C(\tilde{T})(y_l,n)}\mathrm{sgn}(q)\sum_{p\in(x_k,n)R(\tilde{T})}\alpha^{n-\nu_n(pq\sigma)}=-\sum_{\tilde{q}\in C(\tilde{T})}\mathrm{sgn}(\tilde{q})\sum_{\tilde{p}\in R(\tilde{T})}\alpha^{n-\nu_n((x_k,n)\tilde{p}\tilde{q}(y_l,n)\sigma)}.$$

We prove (3.2) by induction on n for five cases: (i) σ is the identity; (ii) $\sigma=q_0p_0$ for some $q_0\in C(T)$ and $p_0\in R(T)$; (iii) $\sigma=(z,n)$ for $z\in[n-1]\setminus\{x_1,\dots,x_{s-1},y_1,\dots,y_{t-1}\}$; (iv) σ is not of the form $\sigma=q_0p_0$ for any $q_0\in C(T)$ and $p_0\in R(T)$ but $\tilde{\sigma}=\tilde{q}_0\tilde{p}_0$ for some $\tilde{q}_0\in C(\tilde{T})$ and $\tilde{p}_0\in R(\tilde{T})$; (v) σ is not of the form $\sigma=q_0p_0$ for any $q_0\in C(T)$ and $p_0\in R(T)$, and $\tilde{\sigma}$ is not of the form $\tilde{\sigma}=\tilde{q}_0\tilde{p}_0$ for any $\tilde{q}_0\in C(\tilde{T})$ and $\tilde{p}_0\in R(\tilde{T})$. Note that (i) (resp. (iii)) is the special case in (ii) (resp. (iv)). Assume that (3.2) holds for $\tilde{T}$ and $\tilde{\sigma}$.

Case (i). We have

$$F((1);n,n)=\sum_{\tilde{q}\in C(\tilde{T})}\mathrm{sgn}(\tilde{q})\sum_{\tilde{p}\in R(\tilde{T})}\alpha^{n-1-\nu_{n-1}(\tilde{p}\tilde{q})}=f_{\tilde{\lambda}}(\alpha)$$

by the assumption of the induction for $\tilde{\sigma}=(1)$ because $\nu_n(\tilde{p}\tilde{q})=\nu_{n-1}(\tilde{p}\tilde{q})+1$. We have also

$$F((1);x_k,n)=\alpha\sum_{\tilde{q}\in C(\tilde{T})}\mathrm{sgn}(\tilde{q})\sum_{\tilde{p}\in R(\tilde{T})}\alpha^{n-1-\nu_{n-1}(\tilde{p}\tilde{q})}=\alpha f_{\tilde{\lambda}}(\alpha)$$

by the induction hypothesis again because $\nu_n((x_k,n)\tilde{p}\tilde{q})=\nu_{n-1}(\tilde{p}\tilde{q})$. Similarly, $F((1);n,y_l)=-\alpha f_{\tilde{\lambda}}(\alpha)$. Further,

$$F((1);x_k,y_l)=\sum_{\tilde{q}\in C(\tilde{T})}\mathrm{sgn}(\tilde{q})\sum_{\tilde{p}\in R(\tilde{T})}\alpha^{n-\nu_n((x_k,y_l,n)\tilde{p}\tilde{q})}=\alpha\sum_{\tilde{q}\in C(\tilde{T})}\mathrm{sgn}(\tilde{q})\sum_{\tilde{p}\in R(\tilde{T})}\alpha^{n-1-\nu_{n-1}((x_k,y_l)\tilde{p}\tilde{q})}$$

and this equals zero because permutation (x_k,y_l) is not given in the form of a product of an element of $C(\tilde{T})$ and one of $R(\tilde{T})$. Hence by (3.4) we obtain

$$\sum_{q\in C(T)}\mathrm{sgn}(q)\sum_{p\in R(T)}\alpha^{n-\nu_n(pq)}=(1+(s-t)\alpha)f_{\tilde{\lambda}}(\alpha).$$

The Frobenius notation of $\tilde{\lambda}$ is given by $(a_1,\dots,a_{d-1}|b_1,\dots,b_{d-1})$ if $s=t$; or $(a_1,\dots,a_t-1,\dots,a_d|b_1,\dots,b_d)$ and $a_t=s-t$ if $s>t$; or $(a_1,\dots,a_d|b_1,\dots,b_s-1,\dots b_d)$ and $b_s=t-s$ if $s<t$. Therefore $f_\lambda(\alpha)=(1+(s-t)\alpha)f_{\tilde{\lambda}}(\alpha)$, and (3.2) holds for the case (i).

Case (ii). Since

$$\sum_{q\in C(T)}\mathrm{sgn}(q)\sum_{p\in R(T)}\alpha^{n-\nu_n(pqq_0p_0)}=\mathrm{sgn}(q_0)\sum_{q\in C(T)}\mathrm{sgn}(q)\sum_{p\in R(T)}\alpha^{n-\nu_n(pq)},$$

the equality (3.2) holds for the case (ii) by the result for (i).

Case (iii). Let $\sigma = (z, n)$ and suppose that the (t', s')-box in T is numbered by z. Since T is a standard tableau, it holds $t' < t$ or $s' < s$. We have $F(\sigma; n, n) = \alpha f_{\tilde{\lambda}}(\alpha)$ and

$$F(\sigma; x_k, n) = \sum_{\tilde{q} \in C(\tilde{T})} \mathrm{sgn}(\tilde{q}) \sum_{\tilde{p} \in R(\tilde{T})} \alpha^{n - \nu_n(\tilde{p}\tilde{q}(x_k, z, n))} = \alpha \sum_{\tilde{q} \in C(\tilde{T})} \mathrm{sgn}(\tilde{q}) \sum_{\tilde{p} \in R(\tilde{T})} \alpha^{n-1-\nu_{n-1}(\tilde{p}\tilde{q}(x_k, z))}.$$

If $s' < s$ then $(x_{s'}, z)$ belongs to $C(\tilde{T})$ and (x_k, z) is not given as a product of one in $C(\tilde{T})$ and one in $R(\tilde{T})$ for $k \neq s'$. Therefore it follows by the assumption of the induction that

$$\sum_{k=1}^{s-1} F(\sigma; x_k, n) = \begin{cases} -\alpha f_{\tilde{\lambda}}(\alpha) & \text{if } s' < s, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Similarly, we have

$$\sum_{l=1}^{t-1} F(\sigma; n, y_l) = \begin{cases} -\alpha f_{\tilde{\lambda}}(\alpha) & \text{if } t' < t, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

and

$$\sum_{k=1}^{s-1} \sum_{l=1}^{t-1} F(\sigma; x_k, y_l) = \begin{cases} \alpha f_{\tilde{\lambda}}(\alpha) & \text{if } s' < s \text{ and } t' < t, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Hence, by (3.4) we obtain

$$\sum_{q \in C(T)} \mathrm{sgn}(q) \sum_{p \in R(T)} \alpha^{n - \nu_n(pq(z,n))} = 0.$$

This shows (3.2) for (iii).

Case (iv). In this case, σ is expressed as $\sigma = (z', n)\tilde{q}_0\tilde{p}_0 = \tilde{q}_0\tilde{p}_0(z, n)$, where $z' \in [n-1] \setminus \{y_1, \ldots, y_{t-1}\}$ and $z \in [n-1] \setminus \{x_1, \ldots, x_{s-1}\}$. Since

$$\sum_{q \in C(T)} \mathrm{sgn}(q) \sum_{p \in R(T)} \alpha^{n - \nu_n(pq\tilde{q}_0\tilde{p}_0(z,n))} = \mathrm{sgn}(q_0) \sum_{q \in C(T)} \mathrm{sgn}(q) \sum_{p \in R(T)} \alpha^{n - \nu_n(pq\tilde{p}_0(z,n))},$$

we may assume $\tilde{q}_0$ is the identity without loss of generality. By a similar discussion, we may further assume $\tilde{p}_0$ is the identity, and hence the case (iv) is reduced to (iii).

Case (v). It is clear that $F(\sigma; n, n)$ is equal to just the following or the α times of

$$\sum_{\tilde{q} \in C(\tilde{T})} \mathrm{sgn}(\tilde{q}) \sum_{\tilde{p} \in R(\tilde{T})} \alpha^{n-1-\nu_{n-1}(\tilde{p}\tilde{q}\tilde{\sigma})}$$

but this equals zero by the induction assumption. Since $\nu_n((x_k, n)\tilde{q}_0\tilde{p}_0\tilde{\sigma}) = \nu_n(\tilde{q}_0\tilde{p}_0\tilde{\sigma}) \pm 1$ we obtain $F(\sigma; x_k, n) = 0$, and similarly $F(\sigma; n, y_l) = F(\sigma; x_k, y_l) = 0$. Therefore (3.2) holds for (v). This completes the proof of the theorem for a standard tableau T.

In general, a numbering T is written as $T = \tau \cdot U$ for some $\tau \in \mathfrak{S}_n$ and a standard tableau U. Here $\tau \cdot U$ is the numbering obtained from replacing i by $\tau(i)$ in each box of U. Since $R(T) = \tau R(U)\tau^{-1}$ and $C(T) = \tau C(U)\tau^{-1}$ it is proved (3.2) for a numbering T by the result for a standard tableau U. □

For a sequence $(i_1,\dots,i_n)\in[n]^n$ and a numbering T, we define

$$v_T^{(\alpha)}(i_1,\dots,i_n)=D^{(\alpha)}(i_1,\dots,i_n)\cdot c_T=\sum_{q\in C(T)}\operatorname{sgn}(q)\sum_{p\in R(T)}D^{(\alpha)}(i_{qp(1)},\dots,i_{qp(n)}), \tag{3.5}$$

where $c_T=\sum_{q\in C(T)}\operatorname{sgn}(q)\sum_{p\in R(T)}qp$ is the Young symmetrizer.

Corollary 3.2. *Let $\lambda\vdash n$. For each sequence $(i_1,\dots,i_n)\in[n]^n$ and numbering T of shape λ, we have*

$$v_T^{(\alpha)}(i_1,\dots,i_n)=f_\lambda(\alpha)v_T^{(0)}(i_1,\dots,i_n). \tag{3.6}$$

Proof. For a numbering T of shape λ, denote by $W_T^{(\alpha)}$ the space spanned by $\{v_T^{(\alpha)}(i_1,\dots,i_n)\mid i_1,\dots,i_n\in[n]\}$. Since the Schur module E^λ is isomorphic to the image of the map $(\mathbb{C}^n)^{\otimes n}\to(\mathbb{C}^n)^{\otimes n}$ given by c_T for any numbering T, it follows from Proposition 2.3 and (3.5) that $W_T^{(\alpha)}$ is isomorphic to $\{0\}$ or E^λ. If (3.6) is proved for a certain sequence $(j_1,\dots,j_n)$ satisfying $v_T^{(0)}(j_1,\dots,j_n)\neq0$, (3.6) holds for any $(i_1,\dots,i_n)\in[n]^n$ because $W_T^{(\alpha)}$ is the cyclic module $U(\mathfrak{g})v_T^{(\alpha)}(j_1,\dots,j_n)$ and the action of $\mathfrak{g}$ is independent of α.

We prove (3.6) for the case where $(i_1,i_2,\dots,i_n)=(1,2,\dots,n)$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}v_T^{(\alpha)}(1,\dots,n)&=\sum_{q\in C(T)}\operatorname{sgn}(q)\sum_{p\in R(T)}\sum_{\sigma\in\mathfrak{S}_n}\alpha^{n-\nu(\sigma)}x_{qp\sigma(1),1}\cdots x_{qp\sigma(n),n}\\&=\sum_{\sigma\in\mathfrak{S}_n}\left(\sum_{q\in C(T)}\operatorname{sgn}(q)\sum_{p\in R(T)}\alpha^{n-\nu(pq\sigma)}\right)x_{\sigma(1),1}\cdots x_{\sigma(n),n}.\end{aligned}$$

By Proposition 3.1 we see that

$$v_T^{(\alpha)}(1,\dots,n)=f_\lambda(\alpha)\sum_{q_0\in C(T)}\operatorname{sgn}(q_0)\sum_{p_0\in R(T)}x_{q_0p_0(1),1}\cdots x_{q_0p_0(n),n}=f_\lambda(\alpha)v_T^{(0)}(1,\dots,n).$$

If $q_0\neq q_0'$ or $p_0\neq p_0'$ then $q_0p_0\neq q_0'p_0'$. Indeed, if $q_0p_0=q_0'p_0'$ then $C(T)\ni(q_0')^{-1}q_0=p_0(p_0')^{-1}\in R(T)$. But, since $C(T)\cap R(T)=\{(1)\}$, we have $q_0=q_0'$ and $p_0=p_0'$. Hence

$$v_T^{(0)}(1,\dots,n)=\sum_{q_0\in C(T)}\operatorname{sgn}(q_0)\sum_{p_0\in R(T)}x_{q_0p_0(1),1}\cdots x_{q_0p_0(n),n}\neq0$$

and so we have proved the corollary. □

Example 3.3. For $T=\begin{array}{|c|c|}\hline1&3\\\hline2\\\cline{1-1}\end{array}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}v_T^{(\alpha)}(1,2,1)=&D^{(\alpha)}(1,2,1)\cdot((1)+(13)-(12)-(132))\\=&2D^{(\alpha)}(1,2,1)-D^{(\alpha)}(2,1,1)-D^{(\alpha)}(1,1,2)\\=&(1+\alpha)(1-\alpha)(2x_{11}x_{22}x_{13}-x_{21}x_{12}x_{13}-x_{11}x_{12}x_{23}).\end{aligned}$$ □

Example 3.4. For $T = \begin{array}{|c|c|}\hline 1&2\\\hline 3&4\\\hline\end{array}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}v_T^{(\alpha)}(1,2,2,4) =& D^{(\alpha)}(1,2,2,4) + D^{(\alpha)}(1,2,4,2) - 2D^{(\alpha)}(1,4,2,2) + D^{(\alpha)}(2,1,2,4)\\ &+ D^{(\alpha)}(2,1,4,2) - 2D^{(\alpha)}(2,2,1,4) - 2D^{(\alpha)}(2,2,4,1) + D^{(\alpha)}(2,4,1,2)\\ &+ D^{(\alpha)}(2,4,2,1) - 2D^{(\alpha)}(4,1,2,2) + D^{(\alpha)}(4,2,1,2) + D^{(\alpha)}(4,2,2,1)\\ =&(1+\alpha)(1-\alpha)(x_{11}x_{22}x_{23}x_{44} + x_{11}x_{22}x_{43}x_{24} - 2x_{11}x_{42}x_{23}x_{24} + x_{21}x_{12}x_{23}x_{44}\\ &+ x_{21}x_{12}x_{43}x_{24} - 2x_{21}x_{22}x_{13}x_{44} - 2x_{21}x_{22}x_{43}x_{14} + x_{21}x_{42}x_{13}x_{24}\\ &+ x_{21}x_{42}x_{23}x_{14} - 2x_{41}x_{12}x_{23}x_{24} + x_{41}x_{22}x_{13}x_{24} + x_{41}x_{22}x_{23}x_{14}). \quad \square\end{aligned}$$

For a semi-standard tableau S and a standard tableau T of the same shape, we define the sequence $\boldsymbol{i}^{(S,T)} = (i_1^{(S,T)}, \dots, i_n^{(S,T)})$ as follows. For each k, we let B_k be the box numbered by k in T and denote by $i_k^{(S,T)}$ the number in box B_k of S. For example, for

$$S = \begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|}\hline 1&2&2&3\\\hline 3&3&4\\\cline{1-3} 4&6\\\cline{1-2}\end{array} \qquad \text{and} \qquad T = \begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|}\hline 1&3&5&6\\\hline 2&4&9\\\cline{1-3} 7&8\\\cline{1-2}\end{array},$$

we have $\boldsymbol{i}^{(S,T)} = (1,3,2,3,2,3,4,6,4)$. Put $v_{S,T}^{(\alpha)} = v_T^{(\alpha)}(\boldsymbol{i}^{(S,T)})$.

Example 3.5.

$$\begin{aligned}v_{S,T}^{(\alpha)} =& 2D^{(\alpha)}(1,2,1) - D^{(\alpha)}(2,1,1) - D^{(\alpha)}(1,1,2) \quad \text{for } (S,T) = \left(\begin{array}{|c|c|}\hline 1&1\\\hline 2\\\cline{1-1}\end{array}, \begin{array}{|c|c|}\hline 1&3\\\hline 2\\\cline{1-1}\end{array}\right),\\ v_{S,T}^{(\alpha)} =& D^{(\alpha)}(1,3,2) - D^{(\alpha)}(3,1,2) + D^{(\alpha)}(2,3,1) - D^{(\alpha)}(2,1,3) \quad \text{for } (S,T) = \left(\begin{array}{|c|c|}\hline 1&2\\\hline 3\\\cline{1-1}\end{array}, \begin{array}{|c|c|}\hline 1&3\\\hline 2\\\cline{1-1}\end{array}\right),\\ v_{S,T}^{(\alpha)} =& D^{(\alpha)}(1,2,3) - D^{(\alpha)}(2,1,3) + D^{(\alpha)}(3,2,1) - D^{(\alpha)}(3,1,2) \quad \text{for } (S,T) = \left(\begin{array}{|c|c|}\hline 1&3\\\hline 2\\\cline{1-1}\end{array}, \begin{array}{|c|c|}\hline 1&3\\\hline 2\\\cline{1-1}\end{array}\right). \quad \square\end{aligned}$$

Example 3.6. For

$$S = T = \begin{array}{|c|}\hline 1\\\hline 2\\\hline :\\\hline n\\\hline\end{array}$$

we have $v_{S,T}^{(\alpha)} = \sum_{q\in\mathfrak{S}_n} \operatorname{sgn}(q) D^{(\alpha)}(q(1),\dots,q(n)) = \prod_{j=1}^{n-1}(1-j\alpha)\det(X)$. $\square$

Finally, we obtain the following theorem.

Theorem 3.3. *Denote by $W_T^{(\alpha)}$ the image of the map $V_n^{(\alpha)} \to V_n^{(\alpha)}$ given by c_T for each standard tableau T of shape $\lambda \vdash n$. Then $V_n^{(\alpha)} = \bigoplus_{\lambda\vdash n}\bigoplus_T W_T^{(\alpha)}$, where T run over standard tableaux, and*

$$W_T^{(\alpha)} \cong f_\lambda(\alpha)E^\lambda = \begin{cases}\{0\} & \text{for } \alpha \in \{1, \frac{1}{2}, \dots, \frac{1}{\lambda_1'-1}, -1, -\frac{1}{2}, \dots, -\frac{1}{\lambda_1-1}\},\\ E^\lambda & \text{otherwise.}\end{cases}$$

When $W_T^{(\alpha)} \cong E^\lambda$, the $v_{S,T}^{(\alpha)} = f_\lambda(\alpha)v_{S,T}^{(0)}$, where S run over all semi-standard tableaux of shape λ with entries in $[n]$, form a basis of $W_T^{(\alpha)}$. Further, the vector $v_{S,T}^{(\alpha)}$ is the highest weight vector of $W_T^{(\alpha)}$ if all entries in the r-th row of S are r, and $v_{S,T}^{(\alpha)}$ is the lowest weight vector if entries in each r-th column of S are given as $n-\lambda_r'+1, \dots, n-1, n$ from the top.

Proof. By Proposition 2.3, it is clear that $V_n^{(\alpha)} = \bigoplus_\lambda \bigoplus_T W_T^{(\alpha)}$ and each $W_T^{(\alpha)}$ is $\{0\}$ or isomorphic to E^λ. The space $W_T^{(\alpha)}$ is generated by $v_T^{(\alpha)}(i_1, \dots, i_n)$, where $(i_1, \dots, i_n) \in [n]^n$. Since $W_T^{(0)} \cong E^\lambda$ by (2.2), it follows from Corollary 3.2 that $W_T^{(\alpha)} \cong E^\lambda$ unless $f_\alpha(\lambda) \neq 0$. It is easy to see that $f_\alpha(\lambda) = 0$ if and only if $\alpha = 1/k$ for $1 \leq k \leq \lambda'_1 - 1$ or $\alpha = -1/k$ for $1 \leq k \leq \lambda_1 - 1$.

Suppose $W_T^{(\alpha)} \cong E^\lambda$. Elements $\{v_{S,T}^{(0)} \mid S \text{ are semi-standard tableaux}\}$ are linearly independent. In fact, for any semi-standard tableau S_0, the term $D^{(0)}(\boldsymbol{i}^{(S_0,T)}) = x_{i_1^{(S_0,T)},1} \cdots x_{i_n^{(S_0,T)},n}$ appears only in $v_{S_0,T}^{(0)}$ among all $v_{S,T}^{(0)}$. Since the dimension of E^λ is equal to the number of semi-standard tableaux of shape λ, the $v_{S,T}^{(\alpha)} = f_\lambda(\alpha) v_{S,T}^{(0)}$ form a basis of $W_T^{(\alpha)}$. It is immediate to check the last claim. □

Theorem 3.3 says that $\{D^{(\alpha)}(i_1, \dots, i_n) \mid i_1, \dots, i_n \in [n]\}$ are linearly independent if $\alpha \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{\pm 1/k \mid k = 1, \dots, n-1\}$. Theorem 1.1 follows from Theorem 3.3 immediately.

A trick of doubling the variables ([H], [W]) suggests the following corollary. In fact, since $\dim_{\mathbb{C}}(E^\lambda \otimes (E^\lambda)^*)^{\mathfrak{sl}_n(\mathbb{C})} = 1$, we can express $\det(X)^2$ by α-determinants except a finite number of α.

Corollary 3.4. *Let* $\alpha \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{\frac{1}{k} \mid 1 \leq k \leq \frac{n-1}{2}\}$. *Then there exists* $\lambda \vdash n$ *such that* $f_\lambda(\alpha) \neq 0$, *which has the following property; for any standard tableau* T *of shape* λ *there exists a* $\mathfrak{sl}_n(\mathbb{C})$*-intertwining operator* $A^{(\alpha)} : (W_T^{(\alpha)})^* \to W_T^{(\alpha)}$ *satisfying* $A^{(\alpha)}((v_{T,T}^{(\alpha)})^*) = v_{T,T}^{(\alpha)}$ *and*

$$\det(X)^2 = f_\lambda(\alpha)^{-2} \sum_S v_{S,T}^{(\alpha)} \cdot A^{(\alpha)}((v_{S,T}^{(\alpha)})^*). \tag{3.7}$$

Here the sum runs over all semi-standard tableaux S *of shape* λ *and* $(v_{S,T}^{(\alpha)})^*$ *are defined by* $(v_{S,T}^{(\alpha)})^*(v_{S',T}^{(\alpha)}) = \delta_{S,S'}$. *More precisely, one may take* $\lambda = (2^{\frac{n}{2}})$ *if* n *is even, or* $\lambda = (1^1 2^{\frac{n-1}{2}})$ *if* n *is odd, which satisfies the condition.*

Proof. For α and λ in the corollary, it is easy to see that $f_\lambda(\alpha) \neq 0$. Consider a standard tableau T of shape λ. Then we see that $W_T^{(\alpha)} = W_T^{(0)}$ and $(v_{S,T}^{(\alpha)})^* = f_\lambda(\alpha)^{-1}(v_{S,T}^{(0)})^*$. Suppose the corollary is true for $\alpha = 0$. Using the intertwining operator $A^{(0)}$, we define $A^{(\alpha)}$ by $A^{(\alpha)} = f_\lambda(\alpha)^2 A^{(0)}$. Then we see that $A^{(\alpha)}((v_{T,T}^{(\alpha)})^*) = f_\lambda(\alpha)^2 A^{(0)}(f_\lambda(\alpha)^{-1}(v_{T,T}^{(0)})^*) = v_{T,T}^{(\alpha)}$ and

$$\sum_S v_{S,T}^{(\alpha)} \cdot A^{(\alpha)}((v_{S,T}^{(\alpha)})^*) = f_\lambda(\alpha)^2 \sum_S v_{S,T}^{(0)} \cdot A^{(0)}((v_{S,T}^{(0)})^*) = f_\lambda(\alpha)^2 \det(X)^2.$$

It is hence sufficient to prove the corollary for the case $\alpha = 0$.

In general, for a finite-dimensional irreducible $U(\mathfrak{sl}_n)$-module V and its dual module V^*,

$$\mathfrak{I} = \sum_i v_i \otimes v_i^* \in V \otimes V^*$$

defines an invariant of $\mathfrak{sl}_n(\mathbb{C})$, see [H]. Here v_i are a basis of V and v_i^* are the dual basis, i.e, $v_i^*(v_j) = \delta_{ij}$. When $V = W_T^{(0)} \cong E^\lambda$ ($\lambda = (1^{n-2r} 2^r)$), the module V is self-dual, i.e., $V^* \cong_{\mathfrak{sl}_n(\mathbb{C})} V$.

Therefore there exists an intertwining operator A' from V^* to V. Then the polynomial $\sum_S v_{S,T}^{(0)} \cdot A'((v_{S,T}^{(0)})^*) \in \mathcal{P}(\mathrm{Mat}_{n\times n})$ of degree $2n$ determined by $\mathfrak{I}$ is an invariant of $\mathfrak{sl}_n$ and hence

$$\sum_S v_{S,T}^{(0)} \cdot A'((v_{S,T}^{(0)})^*) = c\det(X)^2 \tag{3.8}$$

for some constant c. Comparing the coefficients of $x_{11}^2 \cdots x_{nn}^2$ in both sides in (3.8), we have $A'((v_{T,T}^{(0)})^*) = cx_{11}\cdots x_{nn} = cv_{T,T}^{(0)}$ and so $c \neq 0$. Hence $A^{(0)} = c^{-1}A'$ is our desired operator. □

Example 3.7. Let $T = \begin{array}{|c|c|}\hline 1 & 2 \\ \hline \end{array}$. The module $W_T^{(\alpha)}$ has a basis consisting of $v_+ = v_{\begin{array}{|c|}\hline 1 \\ \hline 1 \\ \hline \end{array},\begin{array}{|c|}\hline 1 \\ \hline 2 \\ \hline \end{array}}^{(\alpha)} = 2D^{(\alpha)}(1,1)$, $v = v_{\begin{array}{|c|}\hline 1 \\ \hline 2 \\ \hline \end{array},\begin{array}{|c|}\hline 1 \\ \hline 2 \\ \hline \end{array}}^{(\alpha)} = D^{(\alpha)}(1,2) + D^{(\alpha)}(2,1)$, $v_- = v_{\begin{array}{|c|}\hline 2 \\ \hline 2 \\ \hline \end{array},\begin{array}{|c|}\hline 1 \\ \hline 2 \\ \hline \end{array}}^{(\alpha)} = 2D^{(\alpha)}(2,2)$ if $\alpha \neq -1$. The linear map A determined by

$$A(v_+^*) = -\frac{1}{2}v_-, \quad A(v^*) = v, \quad A(v_-^*) = -\frac{1}{2}v_+$$

from $(W_T^{(\alpha)})^*$ to $W_T^{(\alpha)}$ defines an intertwining operator of $\mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{C})$. Hence, by the corollary, we have

$$\begin{aligned}(1+\alpha)^2\det(X)^2 =& v_+ \cdot A(v_+^*) + v \cdot A(v^*) + v_- \cdot A(v_-^*) = v^2 - v_+ \cdot v_- \\ =& (D^{(\alpha)}(1,2) + D^{(\alpha)}(2,1))^2 - 4D^{(\alpha)}(1,1)D^{(\alpha)}(2,2).\end{aligned}$$ □

4 Concluding remarks

We give here a sketch of another proof of the main theorem based on a character theory of $\mathfrak{S}_n$ together with a comment on a possible generalization of the theorem to the quantum group $U_q(\mathfrak{gl}_n)$ (Section 4.1 and 4.2). At the final position of the paper, we discuss the irreducible decomposition of $V_n^{(\alpha)}$ when $\alpha = \infty$ (Section 4.3).

4.1

Using representation theory of the symmetric groups, a simpler proof of Proposition 3.1 can be given as follows. The formula

$$\alpha^{n-\nu_n(\sigma)} = \sum_{\lambda\vdash n} \frac{f^\lambda}{n!} f_\lambda(\alpha)\chi^\lambda(\sigma) \tag{4.1}$$

is a specialization of the Frobenius character formula, see [Mac, I-7, Example 17]. Here χ^λ is the irreducible character of $\mathfrak{S}_n$ corresponding to λ. Moreover, for a standard tableau T and a partition λ, the well-known equation

$$\chi^\lambda \cdot c_T = \delta_{\lambda,\mathrm{sh}(T)}\frac{n!}{f^\lambda}c_T \tag{4.2}$$

in the group algebra $\mathbb{C}\mathfrak{S}_n$ holds, which is obtained by Young. Here $\mathrm{sh}(T)$ is the shape of T. Proposition 3.1 follows from (4.1) and (4.2).

However, when we develop our discussion to the quantum enveloping algebra, we know that the q-Young symmetrizer is not essential. Define the quantum α-determinant by

$$\det_{\alpha,q}(X) = \sum_{\sigma\in\mathfrak{S}_n} q^{\mathrm{inv}(\sigma)}\alpha^{n-\nu(\sigma)}x_{\sigma(1)1}\cdots x_{\sigma(n)n}, \tag{4.3}$$

where $\mathrm{inv}(\sigma)$ is the inversion number of σ; $\mathrm{inv}(\sigma) = \#\{(i,j) \mid 1 \le i < j \le n,\ \sigma(i) > \sigma(j)\}$. In particular, $\det_q(X) = \det_{-1,q}(X)$ is the (usual) quantum determinant, see e.g. [NUW]. We define quantum analogue elements $v_{S,T}^{(\alpha,q)}$ of $v_{S,T}^{(\alpha)}$ by the q-Young symmetrizers studied by Gyoja [G]. For each standard tableau T, denote by $W_T^{(\alpha,q)}$ the quantum analogue of $W_T^{(\alpha)}$ given by the q-Young symmetrizer. Let λ be a partition of n and let $T_1, \dots, T_d$ be all standard tableaux of shape λ, where $d = f^\lambda$. Let $v_k^{(\alpha,q)} = v_{S_k,T_k}^{(\alpha,q)}$ be the highest weight vector of each $W_{T_k}^{(\alpha,q)}$. Then there exists a $d \times d$ matrix $F_\lambda(\alpha; q)$ such that

$$(v_1^{(\alpha,q)}, \dots, v_d^{(\alpha,q)}) = (v_1^{(0,q)}, \dots, v_d^{(0,q)}) F_\lambda(\alpha; q).$$

In the classical case, as we have seen in Corollary 3.2, $F_\lambda(\alpha; 1)$ is the scalar matrix $f_\lambda(\alpha) I$. It is observed, however, $F_\lambda(\alpha; q)$ is not, in general, a scalar matrix, not even a diagonal matrix, see [KMW]. Therefore, it is necessary to find a new bases other than the one obtained by the q-Young symmetrizers in order to diagonalize $F_\lambda(\alpha; q)$.

4.2

Recall the immanant. For a partition λ of n, the λ-immanant of X is defined by

$$\mathrm{Imm}_\lambda(X) = \sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n} \chi^\lambda(\sigma) \prod_{i=1}^n x_{i\sigma(i)}.$$

Then, if we use the formula (4.2), we find the cyclic module $U(\mathfrak{gl}_n)\mathrm{Imm}_\lambda(X)$ is decomposed as $U(\mathfrak{gl}_n)\mathrm{Imm}_\lambda(X) \cong (E^\lambda)^{\oplus f^\lambda}$ as in the case of α-determinants. Also, since the function $\sigma \to \nu_n(\sigma)$ is a class function, the α-determinant is expanded by immanants;

$$\det_\alpha(X) = \sum_{\lambda \vdash n} \frac{f^\lambda}{n!} f_\lambda(\alpha) \mathrm{Imm}_\lambda(X)$$

by (4.1). Combining these facts, we have $V_n^{(\alpha)} \cong \bigoplus_{\substack{\lambda \vdash n \\ f_\lambda(\alpha) \neq 0}} (E^\lambda)^{\oplus f^\lambda}$. This agrees with Theorem 1.1. However, if we consider the quantum case, this discussion can not be applied, because the function $\sigma \mapsto \mathrm{inv}(\sigma)$ appeared in (4.3) is not a class function.

4.3

We consider the case "$\alpha = \infty$". Since $\det_\alpha(X)$ is a polynomial of degree $n-1$ in variable α, we can define a limit

$$\det_\infty(X) = \lim_{|\alpha| \to \infty} \alpha^{1-n} \det_\alpha(X) = \sum_{\substack{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n \\ \nu_n(\sigma) = 1}} x_{\sigma(1),1} \cdots x_{\sigma(n),n}. \tag{4.4}$$

For example,

$$\det_\infty \begin{pmatrix} x_{11} & x_{12} & x_{13} \\ x_{21} & x_{22} & x_{23} \\ x_{31} & x_{32} & x_{33} \end{pmatrix} = x_{21}x_{32}x_{13} + x_{31}x_{12}x_{23}.$$

Denote by $V_n^{(\infty)}$ the cyclic module $U(\mathfrak{g})\det_\infty(X)$. Then we have the following irreducible decomposition of $V_n^{(\infty)}$ as a corollary of Theorem 3.3.

Corollary 4.1.

$$V_n^{(\infty)} \cong \bigoplus_{\lambda:\text{hook}} (E^\lambda)^{\oplus f^\lambda} = \bigoplus_{k=1}^{n} \left(E^{(k,1^{n-k})}\right)^{\oplus \binom{n-1}{k-1}},$$

where λ run over all hook partitions of n.

Proof. The degree of polynomial $f_\lambda(\alpha) \in \mathbb{Z}[\alpha]$ is equal to $n-d$, where d is the number of the main diagonal of the Young diagram λ. Therefore $\lim_{|\alpha|\to\infty} \alpha^{1-n} f_\lambda(\alpha)$ is zero unless $d=1$, i.e., λ is a hook. For a hook $\lambda = (k, 1^{n-k})$, the number f^λ is given by the binomial coefficient $\binom{n-1}{k-1}$. Hence, the claim follows from Theorem 3.3. □

Example 4.1. When $n=5$,

$$V_5^{(\infty)} \cong E^{(5)} \oplus \left(E^{(4,1)}\right)^{\oplus 4} \oplus \left(E^{(3,1,1)}\right)^{\oplus 6} \oplus \left(E^{(2,1,1,1)}\right)^{\oplus 4} \oplus E^{(1,1,1,1,1)}. \quad \square$$

Remark 4.1. By (3.3), for each $\alpha > 0$, we can define a probability measure $\mathfrak{M}_n^{(\alpha)}$ on $\mathfrak{S}_n$ by

$$\mathfrak{M}_n^{(\alpha)}(\sigma) = \frac{\alpha^{n-\nu_n(\sigma)}}{\prod_{j=1}^{n-1}(1+j\alpha)} \qquad \text{for each } \sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n.$$

This is called the Ewens measure in [KOV] but the definition is slightly different from ours. It is clear that $\mathfrak{M}_n^{(1)}$ is the uniform measure on $\mathfrak{S}_n$ and $\mathfrak{M}_n^{(0)} = \lim_{\alpha\to 0+} \mathfrak{M}_n^{(\alpha)}$ is the Dirac measure at the identity. Also we see that

$$\mathfrak{M}_n^{(\infty)}(\sigma) = \lim_{\alpha\to+\infty} \mathfrak{M}_n^{(\alpha)}(\sigma) = \begin{cases} 1/(n-1)! & \text{if } \nu_n(\sigma) = 1, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Given a matrix X with non-negative entries x_{ij}, define a random variable X_σ by $X_\sigma = \prod_{i=1}^n x_{i\sigma(i)}$ on $\mathfrak{S}_n$. Then for $\alpha \in [0, +\infty]$ the α-determinant of X is essentially the mean value of X_σ with respect to $\mathfrak{M}_n^{(\alpha)}$:

$$\det_\alpha(X) = \prod_{j=1}^{n-1}(1+j\alpha) \sum_{\sigma\in\mathfrak{S}_n} X_\sigma \mathfrak{M}_n^{(\alpha)}(\sigma) \qquad \text{for } 0 \le \alpha < +\infty,$$

$$\det_\infty(X) = (n-1)! \sum_{\sigma\in\mathfrak{S}_n} X_\sigma \mathfrak{M}_n^{(\infty)}(\sigma). \quad \square$$

Acknowledgement. We would like to thank Kazufumi Kimoto for his helpful comments.

References

[F] W. Fulton, "Young Tableaux, With Applications to Representation Theory and Geometry", London Mathematical Society Student Texts 35, Cambridge University Press, 1997.

[G] A. Gyoja, A q-analogue of Young symmetrizer, Osaka J. Math. **23** (1986), 841–852.

[H] R. Howe, Remarks on classical invariant theory, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **313** (1989), 539–570.

[J] M. Jimbo, A q-analogue of $U(\mathfrak{gl}(N+1))$, Hecke algebra, and the Yang-Baxter equation, Lett. Math. Phys. **11** (1986), 247–252.

[KOV] S. Kerov, G. Olshanski, and A. Vershik, Harmonic analysis on the infinite symmetric group, Invent. Math. **158** (2004), 551–642.

[KMW] K. Kimoto, S. Matsumoto and M. Wakayama, Quantum α-determinant cyclic modules of $\mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{gl}_n)$, in preparation.

[Mac] I. G. Macdonald, Symmetric Functions and Hall Polynomials, 2nd Edition, Oxford, 1995.

[M] S. Matsumoto, Alpha-pfaffian, pfaffian point process and shifted Schur measure, Linear Algebra Appl. **403** (2005), 369–398.

[NUW] M. Noumi, T. Umeda and M. Wakayama, A quantum analogue of the Capelli identity and an elementary differential calculus on $GL_q(n)$, Duke Math. J. **76** (1994), 567–594.

[ST] T. Shirai and Y. Takahashi, Random point fields associated with certain Fredholm determinants I: fermion, Poisson and boson point processes, J. Funct. Anal. **205** (2003), 414–463.

[V] D. Vere-Jones, A generalization of permanents and determinants, Linear Algebra Appl. **111** (1988), 119–124.

[W] H. Weyl, The Classical Groups. Their invariants and representations, 2nd Edition, Princeton University Press, Princeton, 1946.

Sho MATSUMOTO
Graduate School of Mathematics, Kyushu University.
Hakozaki Higashi-ku, Fukuoka, 812-8581 JAPAN.
shom@math.kyushu-u.ac.jp

Masato WAKAYAMA
Faculty of Mathematics, Kyushu University.
Hakozaki Higashi-ku, Fukuoka, 812-8581 JAPAN.
wakayama@math.kyushu-u.ac.jp